

ì

# Cobargo Rural Residential

ñ			
Proposal Title :	Cobargo Rural Residential		15
Proposal Summary :	The planning proposal seeks to z Road, which is located on the eas and with a minimum lot size of 5	stern side of Cobargo, to an	R5 Large Lot Residential Zone
PP Number :	PP_2015_BEGAV_004_00	Dop File No :	15/09675
Proposal Details			Contraction of the second second
Date Planning Proposal Received :	28-Jul-2015	LGA covered :	Bega Valley
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Bega Valley Shire Council
State Electorate :	BEGA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal
LEP Type :	Spot Rezoning		
Location Details			
Street : Col	oargo Bermagui Road		
Suburb : Col	city :		Postcode : 2550
Land Parcel : Lot	331 DP 1035434		
DoP Planning Offic	cer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Ann Martin		
Contact Number :	0242249466		
Contact Email :	ann.martin@planning.nsw.gov.au		
<b>RPA Contact Detai</b>	ils		
Contact Name :	Sophie Thomson		
Contact Number :	0264992246		
Contact Email :	sthomson@begavalley.nsw.gov.au	I	
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details		
Contact Name :	George Curtis		
Contact Number :	0242249465		
Contact Email :	george.curtis@planning.nsw.gov.a	au	
Land Release Data	ı		
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	South Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes

## Cobargo Rural Residential

		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :	55.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	Residential
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	9
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :			
Have there been meetings or communications with	No		
registered lobbyists?:			
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The subject land is a rural proper approximately 467 metres to Col The subject land has an extensiv proponent originally sought to ru- holdings zone under the Bega V supply study for the Cobargo are subdivision for rural residential	bargo Bermagui Road. ve rezoning history dating k ezone the site from 1(a) Rui alley LEP 1987. Council und ea in 1999 which identified t	back to 1995 when the ral General to a rural small dertook a rural residential land
	Following the study, Council res		
	No.121)to rezone the subject lan proposal was rejected by the De cumulative impact of effluent dis at the time, on the surrounding of	d to enable subdivision of t partment of Planning due to sposal from the village of C catchment.	up to 5 lots. However, this o concerns about the obargo, which was unsewered
	proposal was rejected by the De cumulative impact of effluent dis	d to enable subdivision of t partment of Planning due to sposal from the village of C catchment. to be zoned RU1 Primary Pr raft Bega Valley LEP 2010. I sion on behalf of the landow	up to 5 lots. However, this o concerns about the obargo, which was unsewered roduction with a minimum lot During exhibition of the draft
	proposal was rejected by the De cumulative impact of effluent dis at the time, on the surrounding of The subject land was proposed size of 120 hectares under the d LEP, Council received a submiss	d to enable subdivision of a partment of Planning due to sposal from the village of C catchment. to be zoned RU1 Primary Pa raft Bega Valley LEP 2010. I sion on behalf of the landow um lot size of 3 ha.	up to 5 lots. However, this o concerns about the obargo, which was unsewered roduction with a minimum lot During exhibition of the draft wher requesting a R5 Large Lot
	proposal was rejected by the De cumulative impact of effluent dis at the time, on the surrounding of The subject land was proposed size of 120 hectares under the d LEP, Council received a submiss Residential zoning with a minim Council resolved to include the	d to enable subdivision of a partment of Planning due to sposal from the village of C catchment. to be zoned RU1 Primary Plan raft Bega Valley LEP 2010. I sion on behalf of the landow um lot size of 3 ha. land as an "Appendix 3" ma ssing the rezoning via a futur ntifled as a 'Deferred Matter	up to 5 lots. However, this o concerns about the obargo, which was unsewered roduction with a minimum lot During exhibition of the draft wher requesting a R5 Large Lot atter requiring further research ure report to Council. r' under the Bega Valley LEP

## Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The intended outcome of the planning proposal is to amend Bega Valley LEP 2013 by undeferring the subject land, described as Lot 331 DP 1035434, and zoning the land to R5

		to provide for a 9 lot rural residential subdivision. The statement of idered to be adequate.
xplanation of provi	isions provided - s55	(2)(b)
Is an explanation of pro	visions provided? Yes	
Comment :		e provisions provided in the planning proposal is to amend Bega llows:
	Amend map sheet LZ Amend Map sheet LS	N_017A by deleting DM Deferred matter; N_017A by applying R5 Large Lot Residential Zone; Z_017A by applying 5 hectares minimum lot size; P_001 by deleting DM Deferred Matter.
	The explanation of pr	ovisions is considered to be adequate.
Justification - s55 (2	?)(c)	
a) Has Council's strateg	y been agreed to by the D	irector General? No
b) S.117 directions iden	tified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director	General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands 3.1 Residential Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Is the Director Gener	ral's agreement required?	
	dard Instrument (LEPs) Or	
d) Which SEPPs have t		SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	-	ied by the planning proposal, it is considered that the s117 Direction tection Zones may also be applicable.
Have inconsistencies w	ith items a), b) and d) bein	g adequately justified? Yes
lf No, explain :		on 1.2 RURAL ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning ffect land within an existing rural zone.
		sidered to be INCONSISTENT with this Direction as it land from a rural zone to a residential zone.
	prepared by Bega V surrounding Cobarg review included cor servicing. The subje 4-5) under the Depa	vironmental Study: Rural Residential Land Supply Cobargo" review alley Council in 1999 reviewed the suitability of a number of sites go, including the subject site, for rural residential development. The asideration of topography, soil/agricultural quality, hydrology and act site is identified as having a low agricultural soil quality (Class rtment of Primary Industries' Agricultural Land Classification Atlas. a identified in Council's review as being suitable for rural residential
		N: The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency with the by a study that gives consideration to the objective of this
	proposal as it will a	on 1.5 RURAL LANDS: This Direction applies to the planning ffect land within an existing rural zone and proposes to change the ot size on land within a rural zone. The proposal is considered to be

### Cobargo Rural Residential

Planning and Rural Subdivision Principles provided under the SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008, notably the planning proposal states that it will:

- not enable fragmentation of high quality agricultural land given the subject land's low agricultural land classification (Class 4&5);

- Not enable the potential for additional land use conflicts, particularly between residential uses and other rural land uses;

 provide rural residential opportunities compatible with the natural and physical characteristics of the land and that will integrate with surrounding and existing rural residential developments (which adjoin to the south, east and west); and
 provide rural residential opportunities in areas close to an existing town centre that is

- provide rural residential opportunities in areas close to an existing town centre that is well serviced and capable of meeting the daily needs of residents.

It is noted that the site adjoining to the east of this site is also zoned RU1 Primary Production and has a minimum lot size of 120 hectares under the Bega Valley LEP 2013. Council has advised that with the current sewerage infrastructure in place for Cobargo Village, this site is proposed to be zoned in the future to RU5 Village to take advantage of the future extension of the sewerage infrastructure. The proposed R5 Zone for the subject site is considered to be a suitable transition zone to the adjoining future urban land to the east.

It is considered that the proposal is consistent with the Rural Planning and Rural Subdivision Principles for the reasons provided.

**RECOMMENDATION:** The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.

Section 117 Direction 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone or any other zone in which significant residential development is permitted or proposed to be permitted. The proposal states that it is consistent with the Direction as it will facilitate rural residential housing in an area capable of accommodating low impact development. Essential services and facilities exist in the locality which can adequately service the site. A traffic study, prepared in support of the planning proposal, identifies that suitable access arrangements can be provided to the subject site. The study has been prepared consultation with the Roads and Maritime Service.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this Direction for the reasons provided.

**RECOMMENDATION:** The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.

Section 117 Direction 4.1 ACID SULPHATE SOILS:

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this Direction as the site is not identified as having acid sulphate soils Class 1-5 as shown on the Acid Sulphate Soils Planning Maps in the Bega Valley LEP 2013. A Geotechnical Assessment Report, prepared in support of the planning proposal, also does not identify acid sulphate soils affecting the subject site.

**RECOMMENDATION:** The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction.

Section 117 Direction 4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect land mapped as bushfire prone.

The Direction requires consultation with the Rural Fire Service post Gateway determination and prior to exhibition.

#### Cobargo Rural Residential

RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary will need to be satisfied that the requirements of the Direction have been met. A condition should be included in the Gateway determination that consultation should be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service in accordance with the Direction.

5.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL STRATEGIES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the South Coast Regional Strategy applies to the land.

The South Coast Regional Strategy requires that limited areas for additional rural residential must be located on cleared land unsuitable for urban or agricultural uses and will only be agreed to by the Department as part of an endorsed growth management strategy or structure plan.

The proposal states that it is consistent with the South Coast Regional Strategy given that it is:

- not located on viable agricultural land;

- located near to existing towns/villages and regional transport routes, which are capable of meeting the future daily needs of residents;

 - capable of providing a range of housing choices that are affordable, sustainable and of a scale that will complement the role and character of existing townships, and
 - unlikely to be subject to land use conflicts.

Bega Valley Council does not currently have a growth management strategy or structure plan. It is, however, currently preparing a rural residential settlement strategy.

Council's Draft Local Environmental Study: Rural Residential Land Supply Cobargo review (1999) identifies a shortage of rural residential land in close proximity to Cobargo area. It identifies that the subject land is suitable for rural residential development, subject to traffic and waste water studies.

RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction or any inconsistency is of minor significance and the planning proposal achieves the overall intent of the South Coast Regional Strategy.

Section 117 Direction 2.1 Environment Protection Zones: Although not identified in the planning proposal, it is considered that the Direction may also apply because the proposal identifies as part of its assessment of SEPP 44 (Koala Habitat Protection) that the site contains potential koala feed trees that could potentially constitute potential koala habitat. It is also noted that the Terrestrial Biodiversity Map under the Bega Valley LEP 2013 applies to the northern part of the site.

Council's Rural Residential Land Supply - Cobargo review identifies that koala populations are known to occur in the Murrah State Forest area. The review, however, states that it is not expected that subdivision of the subject site with a minimum average area of 5 hectares would result in an effect on threatened species, provided that there are provisions for retaining existing tree cover, especially on creeks and gully lines, and encouraging the replacement of locally indigenous native vegetation.

The planning proposal identifies that due to the proposed zoning and lot size it is unlikely to impact on important vegetation. Furthermore it notes that the lot averaging subdivision clause 4.1B available in the Bega Valley LEP 2013 would provide flexibility in subdivision lot size and subdivision pattern to protect any important koala habitat or other environmental areas.

It is noted that Cobargo Village is currently serviced with a sewerage system. The subject land is not however proposed to be connected to sewer. A Waste Water Treatment Report prepared in support of the planning proposal concludes that the proposed 5 ha lots are capable of on site waste water management which will have a neutral or slightly beneficial effect on the water quality in the local area.

bargo Rural Reside	ential	
	RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the Direction or any inconsistency is justified by Council's Rural Residential Land Supply - Cobargo Study (1999).	
Mapping Provided	- s55(2)(d)	
Is mapping provided?	Yes	
Comment :	The mapping has been provided is adequate for exhibition purposes. Additional mapping will need to be finalised consistent with the Department's LEP Mapping guidelines.	
Community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)	
Has community consul	Itation been proposed? Yes	
Comment :	The planning proposal indicates that it is likely that the proposal will be exhibited as low impact proposal for no less than 14 days. It is considered, however, that the planning proposal should be exhibited for 28 days.	а
Additional Director	General's requirements	
Are there any additiona	al Director General's requirements? <b>No</b>	
If Yes, reasons :		
Overall adequacy o	n the proposal	
Does the proposal mee	et the adequacy criteria? Yes	
If No, comment :	The planning proposal does not include a Project Timeline as required in the Department's guide to preparing planning proposals. It is recommended that a timeli is included in the planning proposal prior to exhibition.	ne
roposal Assessmen	والمعادي والمتكاف المرابع والمتكاف المرابع	
Principal LEP:		
Due Date : August 20	13	
Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	The planning proposal seeks to zone a site currently deferred from Bega Valley LEP 201 This site was included by Council in an "Appendix 3" matters for further consideration l Council.	
Assessment Criteri	ia	
Need for planning proposal :	The planning proposal zones land deferred from Bega Valley LEP 2013 and seeks to provide rural residential development opportunities adjoining the village of Cobargo.	
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	The planning proposal is consistent with Bega Valley Council's Draft Local Environmen Study: Rural Residential Land Supply - Cobargo Land Supply (1999), overall intent of th South Coast Regional Strategy, Council's Community Strategic Plan, applicable State Environmental Planning Policies and section 117 Directions.	
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposal states that due to the proposed zone and minimum lot size provisions for site, the proposal is not likely to have any impact on critical habitat or threatened speci- populations or ecological communities, or their habitats. The proposed lots are large enough to ensure that any existing vegetation and habitat can remain undisturbed. Cou has advised that it will 'ensure lot sizes and subdivision patterns conserve and protect environmental values of the land by applying the lot averaging clause in the BVLEP 201	es, Incil the
	The onsite waste water treatment proposed for the 5ha lots is not likely to have an adve impact on local water quality.	rse
	Page 6 of 9 14 Aug 2015	02.20

The proposal is likely to have a positive social and economic impact as a result of the additional rural residential development which will be made available adjoining the existing village of Cobargo. This will help to support the local economy via demand for jobs, goods and services.

### **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Minor		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	18 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Service Transport for NSW - Roads and Maritime Services			
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes		Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(b	o) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional studies, if required. :				
If Other, provide reasons :				
Identify any internal con	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation required				
Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No				

If Yes, reasons :

### Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	Is Public
Rural Residential Land Supply Strategy for Cobargo February 1999.pdf	Study	Yes
Background, Cobargo council workshop notes.docx	Study	Yes
Council Minutes Regarding Rural Res Strategy Cobargo 1999.pdf	Study	Yes
Council report.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Planning Proposal.pdf	Proposal	Yes
Council letter and minutes.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Attachment 4.pdf	Study	No

## Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions: 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands

bargo Rural Residen	
	3.1 Residential Zones 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
Additional Information :	It is RECOMMENDED that the General Manager, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Bega Valley LEP 2013 to remove the "Deferred Matter" status of Lot 331 DP 1035434 Cobargo Bermagui Road, Cobargo and rezone it to R5 Large Lot Residential and with a 5 hectare minimum lot size, should proceed subject to the following:
	1. A Project Timeline is to be included in the planning proposal prior to exhibition.
	2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:
	<ul> <li>* NSW Rural Fire Service (s117 Direction 4.4)</li> <li>* Roads and Maritime Service</li> </ul>
	Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. Each public authority is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. Public authorities may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	4. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 18 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	6. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2), (3)&(4) of the EP&A Act.
	7. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that:
	(a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal's inconsistency with Section 117 Direction 1.2 1447 Rural Zones is justified by a study.
	(b) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with the following s117 Directions or any inconsistency is justified by a study or is of minor significance:
	1.5 Rural Lands 2.1 Environment Protection Zones 3.1 ResidentIal Zones
	4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies
	(c) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, when Council has consulted with the Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation;

Cobargo Ru	ral Residential
------------	-----------------

(d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or that any inconsistencies are of minor significance; and

(d) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form.

8. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.

Supporting Reasons : A 28 day public exhibition period and 18 month timeframe to complete an LEP are considered appropriate for the proposal.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Team leader 2015. Date:

ENDORS 14/8/15

Brett Whitworth General Manager SOUTHERN REGION

da a cavered bill de Sense of LML Ig - mag In Sense of Sense of Sense